Understanding rainfall projections in relation to extratropical cyclones in eastern Australia
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Heavy rainfall events along Australia’s eastern seaboard are often associated with the occurrence of extratropical cyclones known as East Coast Lows. Such rainfall events contribute significantly to runoff and water availability, with consequences that can be beneficial (increased water storage), but also can cause major adverse effects due to both flash floods and widespread inundation. Any trends in the frequency of such rainfall events into the future are thus of great importance in planning. Gridded analyses of rainfall observations are used to develop three different heavy rainfall climatologies along Australia’s eastern seaboard. These heavy rainfall climatologies have contrasting spatial characteristics to each other and are complemented by river-flow observations to identify large inflow events. A diagnostic of the likelihood of East Coast Low occurrence, based on reanalysis data and of a scale previously shown to be sufficiently large to be resolved by global climate models, is adapted to examine its ability to represent the occurrence of these heavy rainfall and river inflow events. The diagnostic is found to provide a useful means of identifying the likelihood of occurrence of heavy rainfall events, indicating an increasing proportion of heavy rainfall events for increasing rainfall amounts. Seasonal and regional variability of both the diagnostic and the various heavy rainfall classes are examined. The diagnostic is then applied to a global climate model (HadCM3.0) simulation of the current and future climate to examine the influence of increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations on heavy rainfall and inflow events associated with extratropical cyclones in this region. The results indicate that the frequency of these particular classes of heavy rain events could be expected to decrease by between about eight and 25 per cent, depending on season and latitude, by the end of the 21st century for a high emissions scenario. Results are compared to the global climate model direct simulations of the expected changes in rainfall from the 20th to 21st century.

Introduction

The climate of the eastern seaboard of Australia is distinct from the rest of eastern Australia, with rainfall on the eastern seaboard not following the same relationships with large-scale environmental influences such as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) as occurs throughout other parts of eastern Australia (Timbal 2010, Timbal and Hendon 2011). Extreme weather events in this region are often associated with the occurrence of intense extratropical cyclones known as East Coast Lows (ECLs), including heavy rainfall, strong wind and severe wave events (McInnes et al. 1992, Short and Treneman 1992, Hopkins and Holland 1997, McInnes et al. 2002, Speer 2008, Mills et al. 2010). Additionally, major inflows to Sydney’s water storages have been shown to be associated with rainfall generated during ECL events (Pepler and Rakich 2010).

Modeling of heavy rainfall is a complex problem, with very large uncertainty often being associated with the upper end of the rainfall distribution. For example, Pitman and Perkins (2008) found that the variation between the different Global Climate Models (GCMs) they examined from the CMIP3 simulations was of order ten times greater in the 99.7th percentile than in the mean rainfall. Some studies have also suggested changes in the frequency and in the magnitude of heavy rainfall events in the Sydney region may be opposite in sign to each other (Jakob et al. 2011).

The Fourth Assessment Report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Solomon et al. 2007) provides a comprehensive overview of the state of climate knowledge and its uncertainties, and the expected impacts of climate change on the region. It includes a range of expert assessments of global climate change scenarios and the impacts of these on the region. Synthesis of these assessments, however, is still needed to make the information relevant to local decision-making.

The majority of the assessments of climate change in Australia have focused on general climate change impacts and have been synthesised in the Australian Climate Change Atlas (ACCA) (Bennett et al. 2010). The ACCA’s six regional assessments provide a useful platform for regional climate change impacts as they incorporate a range of expert opinion and observations which are regionally relevant. The ACCA provides an excellent starting point for understanding the climate change impacts in the region, however, it lacks a detailed focus on local decision-making needs. For example, for coastal communities, there is a need for more detailed information about the impacts of coastal erosion and sea-level rise, and the potential for future management of coastal and floodplain areas. For agricultural communities, there is a need for more detailed information about potential changes to water availability and its associated impacts to agricultural infrastructure, farm operations, and management strategies. For biodiversity conservation, there is a need for more detailed information about the impacts on native vegetation and species, and the potential for future management of these impacts. For example, biodiversity may be impacted by changes in climate, land use and management, and water availability. Understanding the potential impacts of climate change on biodiversity can inform the development of strategies to conserve and manage the region’s biodiversity. For example, the ACCA highlights the need to prioritise conservation efforts in areas that are expected to be most impacted by climate change, and to consider the potential for future management of these impacts.

The ACCA provides a useful starting point for understanding the climate change impacts in the region, however, it lacks a detailed focus on local decision-making needs. For example, for coastal communities, there is a need for more detailed information about the impacts of coastal erosion and sea-level rise, and the potential for future management of coastal and floodplain areas. For agricultural communities, there is a need for more detailed information about potential changes to water availability and its associated impacts to agricultural infrastructure, farm operations, and management strategies. For biodiversity conservation, there is a need for more detailed information about the impacts on native vegetation and species, and the potential for future management of these impacts. For example, biodiversity may be impacted by changes in climate, land use and management, and water availability. Understanding the potential impacts of climate change on biodiversity can inform the development of strategies to conserve and manage the region’s biodiversity. For example, the ACCA highlights the need to prioritise conservation efforts in areas that are expected to be most impacted by climate change, and to consider the potential for future management of these impacts.
al. 2007) puts a critical emphasis on extreme events, with many of the consequences of anthropogenic climate change expected to be experienced through changes in extreme event occurrence. However, assessing the climatology of extreme events such as extratropical cyclones and associated severe weather impacts is currently a field that is not well-represented in the literature.

GCMs can provide a good representation of the large-scale general circulation of the atmosphere, but currently do not have sufficient resolution to adequately represent phenomena such as ECLs and the scales or intensity of their associated (often extreme) rainfall events. Due to the abilities and limitations of current GCMs, a large-scale diagnostic of the likelihood of ECL occurrence was recently developed based on 500 hPa geostrophic vorticity (Dowdy et al. 2013a) and shown to be large enough in spatial and temporal scale to be applicable to GCMs (Dowdy et al. 2013b). The connection that was identified in these studies between ECL occurrence and the presence of strong upper-tropospheric cyclonic vorticity poses the question as to whether or not changes in the occurrence of heavy rainfall and river inflows can be associated with changes in the occurrence of strong upper-tropospheric cyclonic vorticity events.

This study uses analyses of rainfall and streamflow observations to categorize a number of different heavy rainfall event types for the eastern seaboard region. The ability of a diagnostic, based on upper-tropospheric cyclonic vorticity, to represent heavy rainfall events is examined by applying the diagnostic to reanalyses for the period 1979 to 2010, and comparing this with the occurrence of heavy rainfall events. Seasonal and regional variability is examined. The diagnostic is then applied to GCM simulations of the current and future climate to examine the influence of increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations on heavy rainfall events in the eastern seaboard region.

### Data and methodology

#### Rainfall climatologies

Heavy rainfall events are examined for two different regions within the eastern seaboard of Australia (Fig. 1), each spanning 5° latitude: the ‘northern region’ (within the latitude range 28°S to 33°S) and the ‘southern region’ (within the latitude range 33°S to 38°S). The western boundary of these regions is the ridge line of the Great Dividing Range (truncated to 147.5°E as the focus of this study is on the eastern seaboard region), with the eastern boundary being the coastline.

Rainfall data were obtained from the Australian Water Availability Project (AWAP) gridded analyses of rainfall observations covering the period 1979 to 2010 (Jones et al. 2009). This dataset consists of daily rainfall gridded at 0.05° resolution throughout Australia (approximately 5 km resolution in both latitude and longitude), with the rainfall valid for the 24-hour period from 9.00 am to 9.00 am Local Time (LT).

**Fig. 1.** Map of the eastern seaboard showing the two sub-regions used in this study: the northern region (yellow) and the southern region (green). State borders, capital cities, latitude and longitude are shown.

#### (i) Localised and widespread rain events

For each region, a given day was defined as having a ‘localised rain event’ if the maximum rainfall at a single grid point within the region was above the 90th percentile of this quantity calculated for the study period over this region. Similarly, a ‘widespread rain event’ is considered to have occurred for a given day and region if the sum of the rainfall at all grid points within a particular region is above the 90th percentile of this quantity for that region, with the rainfall volume sum calculated by assuming that each millimetre of rainfall at a grid point occurred consistently throughout the gridded region (with 0.05° resolution in both latitude and longitude). The 90th percentile threshold values used to define localised and widespread rain events are shown for each region and season (Table 1), with summer and winter referring throughout this study to the periods from November to April and from May to October, respectively.

#### (ii) Cluster rain events

The above event types may not necessarily identify the types of relatively small, but intense, rainbands that can sometimes be associated with ECLs, an example of which is shown in Fig. 3 of Mills et al. (2010). Accordingly, a third type of heavy rainfall event, referred to as a ‘cluster rain event’, was also derived from AWAP rainfall analyses based on a clustering algorithm. These rainfall clusters identify significant rainfall events that are larger in scale than thunderstorm scale, while significantly smaller in scale than the regions shown in Fig. 1 used to determine widespread rain events.

The first step in the clustering algorithm is to identify AWAP rainfall analysis grid-points where the daily rainfall is above 1 mm. For grid-points that meet this criterion, the sum of the rainfall at all adjoining grid-points which also have more than 1 mm rainfall is calculated. This leads to a number of distinct rainfall entities being identified across the analysis grid. A rainfall entity is discarded if its summed
rainfall is less than 1000 mm, so as to exclude the very small entities. If any of the remaining rainfall entities are located within 120 km of each other they are considered to be part of a single combined entity.

This clustering method was originally designed for application throughout the whole of Australia (Griffiths and Timbal 2012). As this study is focused on heavy rainfall within the eastern seaboard, additional criteria were required. In order to identify which days might be considered as cluster rain events, a subjective investigation of two years (2006 and 2010) was undertaken in which the rainfall, MSLP and 500 hPa geopotential height charts were examined. Days were grouped into three categories (‘yes’, ‘no’ or ‘maybe’) in relation to whether or not the rainfall clusters appeared to be related to an ECL event. The results suggested that the main rainfall-related indicators of rainfall clusters relating to ECL events were the ratio of rain within the ESB region and the total rainfall of the cluster. This classification is shown in Fig. 2 for 2006. The third rainfall indicator used was that of the maximum grid-point rainfall, with thresholds chosen (shown in Table 1) such that ten per cent of days were selected for each region and season (as this study is focused on the most intense ten per cent of days for each region and each season).

To summarise the method, a cluster rain event is said to have occurred in one of the two study regions (Fig. 1) if all of the following three conditions are met:

1. The cluster must have at least ten per cent of the total cluster rainfall occurring within the eastern seaboard (to exclude rainfall events associated with, for example, a monsoon low moving south from the Gulf of Carpentaria). This is indicated by the vertical line in Fig. 2.
2. The cluster must lie above the sloping line shown in Fig. 2, described by the following equation:

\[
T > 2.2 \times 10^{4} \times 10^{-0.0128P}
\]  

where \(T\) (mm) is the total rainfall of a cluster (calculated as the sum of the rainfall at each grid point in the cluster) and \(P\) (%) is the proportion of the cluster rainfall that falls within the eastern seaboard.
3. The clusters are ranked based on the maximum grid-point rainfall in each of the two regions (shown in Fig. 1), with the highest ranking ten per cent of days in each region and season being defined as cluster rain events. Selecting ten per cent of days results in different thresholds being used in each region and season (Table 1).

**River inflow climatologies**

Heavy rainfall events are also examined based on streamflow data made available from the Climate and Water Division of the Australian Bureau of Meteorology, as part of an Australian Network of Hydrologic Reference Stations. The streamflow data were obtained for multiple sites throughout each of the two regions used in this study (Table 2). There are some days where data are not available at a given site. However, as there are at least seven sites within each region, the above methodology results in data being available for at least one site on all days within each of the two regions.

In order to examine large inflow events in each of the two regions, the daily streamflow data were first converted to daily time series of inflow, with the inflow calculated for each day as the change in streamflow from the previous day to that day. The resultant time series are then converted to percentile values, calculated individually for each streamflow site, so as to equally weight data from sites with different mean streamflows. Daily time series are then produced of the maximum streamflow in each region, based on the highest daily percentile value from all sites within the region. Days on which the inflow is above its 90th percentile value for a particular region are defined here as ‘large inflow events’.

This methodology identifies the initial stream-rise resulting from a rainfall event, as we are matching this metric with rainfall events, and is not intended to identify sustained periods of high streamflow.
The diagnostic developed by Dowdy et al. (2011) is based on upper-tropospheric geostrophic vorticity, $\xi$, calculated as the Laplacian of geopotential divided by the Coriolis parameter:

$$\xi = \frac{1}{f} \nabla^2 \Phi \quad \cdots (2)$$

where $f$ is the Coriolis Parameter and $\nabla^2 \Phi$ the Laplacian of geopotential.

This particular diagnostic quantity was selected based on a systematic examination of a range of potential diagnostic quantities in relation to an observed data-base of ECL occurrence (Speer et al. 2009). In addition to geostrophic vorticity, other potential diagnostics examined included isentropic potential vorticity (at isobaric and isentropic levels) and the forcing term of the pseudo-potential vorticity form of the quasi-geostrophic height tendency equation (following Bluestein 1992, Eqn 5.8.15), with diagnostic skill assessed for each diagnostic quantity at a number of different levels in the upper troposphere (Dowdy et al. 2013a). A variety of other potential diagnostic measures of extratropical cyclogenesis were also examined, including baroclinicity measures such as the Eady Growth Rate (Eady 1949), although applying the diagnostic method (as used for geostrophic vorticity) to the Eady Growth Rate did not show as strong a relationship with the observed ECL data-base as occurs for the diagnostic based on geostrophic vorticity (Dowdy et al. 2013b).

The diagnostic is produced by first calculating a time series of the minimum (i.e. strongest cyclonic) value of the 500 hPa geostrophic vorticity within a geographic region of 15° in longitude and 10.5° in latitude. As described in Dowdy et al. (2013a), the centre of this box is determined dynamically and can vary slightly for different event definitions and seasons, and examples of this are presented later in this paper (Tables 3 and 4). The minimum value of vorticity is selected as this represents the maximum cyclonic vorticity, given that cyclonic vorticity is negative in sign for the southern hemisphere.

To match the diagnostic events to the heavy rain events, consecutive days where the diagnostic exceeds its threshold are considered as a single diagnostic event. This is also the case for the heavy rain events, with consecutive event

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Streamflow site name</th>
<th>Lat. (°S)</th>
<th>Lon. (°E)</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henry River at Newton Boyd</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>152.2</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wollomombi River at Coninside</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apsley River at Apsley Falls</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowendoc River at Nowendoc</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard River at Barry</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>151.3</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goulburn River at Coggan</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>150.1</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams River at Tillegra</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>Northern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jigadee Creek at Avondale</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kowmung River at Cedar Ford</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepean River at Maguires Crossing</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>150.5</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currambene Creek at Falls Creek</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>150.6</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corang River at Hockeys</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoalhaven River at Warri</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>149.7</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clyde River at Brooman</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuross River at Tuross Vale</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherford Creek at Brown Mountain</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genoa River at The Gorge</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errinundra River at Errinundra</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>Southern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UTC) for time slices from 1960 to 1988 and 2070 to 2098. This grid resolution allows a diagnostic box of 10° latitude by 15° longitude, a close match to the size of the diagnostic box used for the ERAI reanalyses, albeit with less grid-points. The 21st century simulation used in this study is based on a high emission scenario, A2. The global average temperature rise for the A2 scenario is expected to be of the order of 2.0 °C to 5.4 °C by the end of the 21st century (Solomon et al. 2007), with the temperature range reflecting the range of sensitivities of the various CMIP3 climate models to the external forcings. The sensitivity of the HadCM model is near the middle of the range of sensitivities of the CMIP3 models (e.g. CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology 2007, Table 4.1).

Results

Current climatology

Daily rainfall totals, summed throughout the eastern seaboard (from AWAP data), are shown distributed using 2500 gigalitre (GL) increments in Fig. 3. The distributions shown are derived from all days within the study period, as well as only for diagnostic event days (with the diagnostic calculated from ERA-Interim reanalyses over the eastern seaboard, centred on 146°E and 28°S). The proportion of all days associated with diagnostic events is also shown for each rainfall amount.

Application to GCMs

The application of the diagnostic to GCMs requires spatial fields of the 500 hPa geopotential with daily (or shorter) temporal resolution. A set of GCM experiments has been produced in conjunction with the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (Solomon et al. 2007): the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 3 (CMIP3). Twenty-five GCMs are included in the CMIP3 dataset, but only two models had archived data suitable for the diagnostic application (Dowdy et al. 2013b): United Kingdom Meteorological Office (UKMO) HadCM3.0 (HadCM – Gordon et al. 2000, Martin et al. 2006, Johns et al. 2006) and Bjerka Centre for Climate Research (BCCCR) BCM2.0 (BCM – Furevik et al. 2003). The results from one of these models, the HadCM, showed a good representation of the 20th century diagnostic climatology, including similar spatial and temporal variability to those obtained from reanalyses. Results derived from the BCM data were less similar to reanalyses than was the for case for the HadCM data, such as a poleward bias in the occurrence of diagnostic events during summer (Dowdy et al. 2013b). We thus chose not to use the BCM data in this study.

The diagnostic is applied to HadCM simulations of the 20th and 21st century climates. Geopotential data are used at 500 hPa, with lower pressure levels (e.g. 300 hPa) not being available from HadCM, while noting that they could potentially provide a slightly better representation of heavy rainfall events than the 500 hPa pressure level (as discussed in Dowdy et al. 2011). The HadCM data have longitudinal and latitudinal resolutions, respectively, of 3.75° and 2.5°, stored with a temporal resolution of one day (valid at 0000 UTC) for time slices from 1960 to 1988 and 2070 to 2098. This grid resolution allows a diagnostic box of 10° latitude by 15° longitude, a close match to the size of the diagnostic box used for the ERAI reanalyses, albeit with less grid-points. The 21st century simulation used in this study is based on a high emission scenario, A2. The global average temperature rise for the A2 scenario is expected to be of the order of 2.0 °C to 5.4 °C by the end of the 21st century (Solomon et al. 2007), with the temperature range reflecting the range of sensitivities of the various CMIP3 climate models to the external forcings. The sensitivity of the HadCM model is near the middle of the range of sensitivities of the CMIP3 models (e.g. CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology 2007, Table 4.1).
The diagnostic generally produces better results during winter ($0.29 \leq \text{CSI} \leq 0.35$) than summer ($0.21 \leq \text{CSI} \leq 0.33$). Better results are also generally obtained in the southern region ($0.31 \leq \text{CSI} \leq 0.35$) than in the northern region ($0.21 \leq \text{CSI} \leq 0.33$). The best result for any region, season and event type occurs for the widespread and localised rain events during winter in the southern region, corresponding to CSI = 0.35 for both event types.

The location of the best diagnostic region tends to occur northwest of the eastern seaboard. There is some indication that the best diagnostic location tends to be further north for the northern region than for the southern region.

Future climate projections

The change in the occurrence frequency of diagnostic events from the 20th to 21st century (Table 5) was calculated from HadCM data at the locations closest to those listed in Tables 3 and 4. The 90th percentile of the diagnostic calculated for the 20th century was used as the threshold for defining diagnostic events for both the 20th and 21st centuries. This method avoids the issue of whether the climate model correctly identifies cut-off low frequency in the 20th century (as addressed for different climate models by Grose et al. 2012).

For increasing rainfall amounts, the diagnostic events correspond to an increasing proportion of heavy rainfall events, with values of up to about 60–80 per cent for the highest rainfall amounts. This result shows that the presence of strong upper-tropospheric cyclonic vorticity provides a useful indication of the likelihood of occurrence of heavy rain events.

To allow for the possibility that the best diagnostic location is variable between different regions, seasons and heavy rainfall types, the diagnostic region (spanning 15 degrees of longitude and 10.5 degrees of latitude) can be centred on different locations when calculating the diagnostic event days (Dowdy et al. 2011). This is done individually for each grid point of the ERA-Interim dataset in the vicinity of the eastern seaboard (covering the region from 135°E to 168°E in longitude and 43°S to 19°S in latitude). For each of these grid-points, the ability of the diagnostic method to correctly identify the occurrence or non-occurrence of a heavy rainfall event is calculated using the CSI. The latitude and longitude of the centre of the geographic box used to calculate the diagnostic that produced the maximum CSI value is shown in Tables 3 and 4. Results are shown individually for each type of heavy rainfall event, in each region, during summer and winter.
Table 5. The change in diagnostic events from 20th to 21st century (from HadCM). Bold font indicates results with a higher degree of confidence (based on CSI ≥ 0.30 from Tables 3 and 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northern region</th>
<th>Southern region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised rain event</td>
<td>−45%</td>
<td>−48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread rain event</td>
<td>−33%</td>
<td>−40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster rain event</td>
<td>−48%</td>
<td>−48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large inflow event</td>
<td>−33%</td>
<td>−48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The change in occurrence frequency of rainfall events based on the projected change in diagnostic events (Table 5), with bold font indicating results with a higher degree of confidence (based on CSI ≥ 0.30 from Tables 3 and 4). The change in total rainfall from 20th to 21st century is also shown based on the direct output from the GCM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northern region</th>
<th>Southern region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised rain event</td>
<td>−14%</td>
<td>−20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widespread rain event</td>
<td>−13%</td>
<td>−19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster rain event</td>
<td>−13%</td>
<td>−23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large inflow event</td>
<td>−14%</td>
<td>−24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct GCM output</td>
<td>−3%</td>
<td>−17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the results that have a higher degree of confidence associated with them (corresponding to CSI ≥ 0.30 from Tables 3 and 4), the changes from the 20th to 21st century are negative in all cases (Table 5), with the reductions being larger during winter than during summer. The projected changes in the frequency of diagnostic events range from −28 to −40 per cent between the different regions and rainfall event types. This result is broadly consistent with the results of Dowdy et al. (2013b) indicating future changes of about −30 per cent in the number of extratropical cyclones that could be expected to occur in the vicinity of the eastern seaboard of Australia.

Given the connection between upper-tropospheric vorticity and heavy rainfall (Fig. 3, Tables 3 and 4), a change in the frequency of occurrence of diagnostic events is expected to produce a change in the occurrence of heavy rainfall events. Upper-tropospheric vorticity is one of a number of different factors that can lead to heavy rainfall and so the hit rate (i.e. the number of hits divided by the sum of the number of hits and misses) is used here as a measure of the proportion of heavy rainfall events associated with strong upper-tropospheric vorticity. Table 6 shows the estimated change in the frequency of occurrence of heavy rainfall events from the 20th to the 21st century, based on the projected change in diagnostic events (from Table 5) multiplied by the corresponding hit rate (from Tables 3 and 4).

For the results that have the higher confidence associated with them (shown in bold font in Table 6), the projected changes in heavy rainfall occurrence during winter range from −19 to −25 per cent for the southern region and from −19 to −24 per cent for the northern region, while during summer the changes are from −8 to −11 per cent for the southern region.

Even though it is not expected that current GCMs produce highly accurate representations of features such as regional rainfall, it is still of general interest to examine the change in mean rainfall obtained directly from HadCM. This is shown in Fig. 4 as well as in Table 6, with the direct GCM projections based on the average value for all grid-points in the northern and southern regions. The rainfall changes derived directly from the GCM are −3 and −8 per cent during summer, and −10 and −17 per cent during winter (Table 6). While noting that the results derived from the diagnostic do not include the influence of potential changes in other rain producing factors (e.g. thunderstorms), as well as recognising the differences between heavy rainfall (indicated by the diagnostic) and mean rainfall (obtained directly from the GCM), the change of −10 per cent for the southern region during winter is somewhat less than the corresponding changes from −19 to −25 per cent in heavy rainfall events indicated by the diagnostic (noting from Table 4 that this is the region and time of year that the diagnostic produces the best results). The relatively small reduction in rainfall for the southern region during winter indicated by the direct model output is in part due to the region of projected rainfall increase in the far southeast of the continent (Fig. 4, lower right panel). This increase is included in the southern part of the southern region when calculating the average rainfall projection for all grid-points within the southern region. The area of projected increased rainfall is somewhat different to other CMIP3 GCMs as well as the downscaling of these models (Timbal et al. 2011) that suggest significant decreases in mean rainfall (−5 to −15 per cent change for GCMs direct rainfall and −10 to −20 per cent change for the downscaled models) could be expected to occur in this region during winter.

Discussion and conclusions

Heavy rainfall events are of particular interest as they contribute significantly to runoff and water availability, leading to significant reservoir inflows as well as damaging flooding and inundation. A diagnostic based on upper-tropospheric vorticity was found to be strongly related to heavy rainfall occurrence within the eastern seaboard of Australia, with diagnostic events accounting for an increasing proportion of heavy rainfall events for increasing rainfall amounts, with values of up to about 60–80 per cent for the highest rainfall amounts (Fig. 3). Regional and seasonal variation in diagnostic performance was examined.
for three heavy rainfall climatologies with different spatial characteristics (localised rain events, widespread rain events and cluster rain events) and for large inflow events based on river-flow observations (Tables 3 and 4).

Using reanalysis data from 1979–2010, the diagnostic had a stronger association with heavy rainfall events in the southern region than the northern region. The strongest association was found to occur for the widespread and localised rain events in the southern region during winter (with CSI values of 0.35). The heavy rain events were generally not as well represented during summer as during winter, highlighting the considerable degree of uncertainty in summer rainfall projections for this region.

The diagnostic was applied to GCM simulations of the current and future climate, indicating changes ranging from –8 to –25 per cent in the number of heavy rainfall events that could be expected to occur in the late 21st century compared to the late 20th century. Although there are some limitations in being able to compare rainfall projections derived from the diagnostic method (i.e. indicating heavy rainfall events) and the direct GCM mean rainfall output, differences were noted for winter in the southern region. The winter rainfall obtained directly from the GCM data for the southern region changes by –10 per cent, while the diagnostic method suggests changes ranging from –19 to –25 per cent in the number of heavy rainfall events for the southern region during winter. The direct GCM projection of the change in winter rainfall from the 20th to 21st century (Fig. 4, lower right panel) shows increases in the far southeast of the Australian continent, in contrast to recent other studies.

Fig. 4. Daily average precipitation from HadCM during November–April (left panels) and May–October (right panels), for (a) the 20th century, (b) 21st century, and (c) the percentage change from 20th to 21st century. Units are 10⁻⁶ kg m⁻² s⁻¹. (1.6 x 10⁻⁶ kg m⁻² s⁻¹ is approximately equal to 1 mm per day).
using both direct model outputs and downscaled rainfall projections based on 11 GCMs from the CMIP3 dataset (Timbal et al. 2011). This highlights the limited value of GCM-based projection based on a single model for small geographic regions without a proper sampling of model uncertainties and the additional help of techniques to refine the regional projections, as well as highlighting the value of an in-depth understanding of regional rainfall mechanisms.

Grose et al. (2012) have shown that two different GCMs also show a reduction in frequency of cut-off lows over eastern Australia towards the end of this century, which suggests some consistency with our results. It should be noted though, that their definition of a cut-off low is rather different to our diagnostic criterion.

Increased precipitation intensity is a relatively consistent result from GCM projections of the future climate for many regions throughout the world (Meehl et al. 2000, Min et al. 2011), while noting that considerable variation is expected in the precipitation intensity changes between different regions, as well as between different intensities of rainfall (e.g. changes in the 90th percentile could be significantly different to changes in the 99th percentile). This study for the eastern seaboard of Australia has shown that fewer heavy rainfall events associated with strong cyclonic vorticity in the upper troposphere could be expected to occur in a warmer world.

Further research could examine whether or not there will be a change in the intensity or duration of each event. For example, it could be possible that fewer events occur but with more total rainfall per event, due to the fact that warm air has the capacity to hold more water vapour than cool air. Conversely, it could also be possible that fewer events occur with less total rainfall per event, due to increased subtropical static stability resulting in reduced baroclinicity, potentially relating to an expansion of the Hadley Cell (Frierson et al. 2007, Lu et al. 2007). Additional factors influencing the occurrence of heavy rainfall in this region include advective effects in the midlatitudes that may play an important role in carrying increased water vapour to areas of mean moisture convergence to produce greater precipitation (e.g. Meehl et al. 2005). In this study it was not possible to examine the influence of factors such as water vapour concentration or transport, as the required GCM data were not available at high enough temporal resolution (i.e. daily resolution).

The projected change in the occurrence frequency of strong upper-tropospheric vorticity events may potentially be related to changes in a number of different phenomena, including the closing of the split jet structure over eastern Australia (Grose et al. 2012), the position and strength of the subtropical ridge (Timbal and Drosdowsky 2012), atmospheric blocking (Pook et al. 2010), Rossby waves (Ndarana and Waugh 2010) and storm tracks (Hoskins and Valdes 1990, Frederiksen and Frederiksen 2007). There appears to be a relatively consistent picture of poleward movement emerging from a variety of contrasting methods and phenomena, including the boundary between the temperate and tropical regions of the world (Lu et al. 2009, Lucas et al. 2012), the position of the subtropical ridge (Kent et al. 2011) and mid-latitude storm tracks (Pinto et al. 2006).

Dowdy et al. (2013b) examined a number of large-scale measures of atmospheric and oceanic variability (including the Southern Oscillation Index, Southern Annular Mode, the intensity of the subtropical ridge and the strength of the East Australian Current), finding that they do not appear to have a significant influence on strong upper-tropospheric vorticity events. The physical reasons for the projected change in frequency of major upper-tropospheric cyclonic vorticity systems over eastern Australia provide considerable scope for further investigations.
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